

PGME Review Report

Summary

Nov 7 - 8, 2018



Strengths

- <u>Postgraduate Dean</u> Leadership exemplary. **1.2.2**
- Elaborate <u>Administrative support</u> for PGME. 1.2.3
- Decanal Support for the PGME Enterprise 1.2.3.1
- Highly engaged and committed <u>institutional</u> partners. 2.3.1.3 3.1.1 9.1.2
- Excellent support for the programs in creating and implanting remediation plans. 5.1.1
- Residents are highly engaged in all levels of governance. 5.1.3
- <u>Faculty Development</u> office is well developed providing excellent support and opportunities for teaching faculty. **6.1.2**
- Support and recognition of the <u>Administrative personnel</u> is exemplary. **7.1.1**
- Highly functional <u>IRC committee</u> 8.2.1

postmd.utoronto.ca

Persistent Weakness

 Lack of clinical systems interoperability for registration, on-boarding and patient care. 4.1.4

postmd.utoronto.ca

Areas for Improvement

- Communication of <u>policies</u> is perceived to be inconsistent **2.1.1.2**
- The <u>discretion</u> given to the clinical departments in managing educational resourcing can lead to potential inequalities between programs. 2.2.2.1 2.2.2.2
- <u>Wellness policy</u> requirement **4.1.4**
- Residents unaware of the supervision policy 4.1.1
- Fatigue Risk Management policy requirement 4.1.3
- Selection <u>policy</u> requirement **5.1.1.1**
- Systematic issues exist regarding the high stakes nature of <u>teacher and</u> <u>promotions</u> and their authenticity. **6.1.1.3**
- Lack of formal <u>MSF for PG Dean</u> performance review 8.1
- Lack of formal <u>MSF for governance of PGME</u> office. 8.1
- Educational <u>Data</u> other than Internal Reviews. It is unclear about how this data is being shared and used to provide meaningful feedback to the programs. 8.2.2

postmd.utoronto.ca